



CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION IN AGRICULTURE: THE IMPACT OF FARMER RESILIENCE AND DIGITAL ADVISORY SERVICES ON SUSTAINABLE CROP MANAGEMENT

Robeena Tesori

MS Scholar, University of Agriculture Sind

Email: tesori.robeena459@gmail.com

Abstract

Climate change poses a significant threat to agricultural productivity and food security worldwide. Increasing frequency of extreme weather events, rising temperatures, and shifting rainfall patterns challenge conventional farming practices and necessitate adaptive strategies to ensure sustainable crop management. Farmers' resilience—their capacity to anticipate, respond, and recover from climate-related shocks and digital advisory services, including mobile apps, remote sensing platforms, and online extension services, are critical in facilitating climate-smart agricultural practices. This study investigates the impact of farmer resilience and digital advisory services on sustainable crop management. Farmer resilience is conceptualized as adaptive capacity, knowledge, and coping strategies that mitigate climate risks, while digital advisory services provide timely, data-driven guidance on crop planning, irrigation, pest management, and weather adaptation. A quantitative research design was employed, using structured questionnaires distributed to farmers, agronomists, and agricultural extension specialists across regions affected by climate variability. Data were analyzed using Smart PLS structural equation modeling to examine the direct and interaction effects of farmer resilience and digital advisory services on sustainable crop management outcomes. Results indicate that both farmer resilience and access to digital advisory services significantly enhance sustainable crop management practices. Digital advisory services amplify the positive effects of farmer resilience by providing timely information, predictive analytics, and evidence-based recommendations, enabling proactive adaptation to climate challenges. The findings highlight the importance of integrating human adaptive capacity with digital technologies to achieve resilient, high-performing agricultural systems. These insights inform policymakers, agricultural planners, and extension services in designing interventions to support climate-smart agriculture.

Keywords: Climate Change Adaptation, Farmer Resilience, Digital Advisory Services, Sustainable Crop Management, Climate-Smart Agriculture

Introduction

Agriculture is highly vulnerable to climate change due to its dependence on climatic conditions for crop growth, water availability, and pest control. Rising global temperatures, erratic rainfall, and increased frequency of extreme weather events pose significant challenges to sustainable agricultural production. Climate change adaptation strategies are essential to maintain food security and ensure the long-term viability of agricultural systems (IPCC, 2022).

Farmer resilience, defined as the capacity of individuals and communities to anticipate, respond, and recover from climate-related shocks, is a key determinant of adaptive success. Resilient farmers possess knowledge of local environmental conditions, employ diversified cropping strategies, and implement risk-reduction measures, allowing them to mitigate the adverse impacts of climate variability (Cutter et al., 2014). Resilience is shaped by experience, social networks, access to resources, and engagement with agricultural advisory services.



Digital advisory services have emerged as critical enablers of climate change adaptation in agriculture. Mobile applications, remote sensing platforms, online weather alerts, and digital extension services provide farmers with timely, data-driven guidance on irrigation scheduling, pest and disease management, crop planning, and soil management (Aker, 2011). By delivering real-time information and predictive insights, these services enhance farmers' adaptive capacity and decision-making efficiency.

Integration of farmer resilience and digital advisory services represents a synergistic approach to sustainable crop management. While resilience provides the knowledge, skills, and coping strategies necessary to adapt, digital advisory services facilitate informed decision-making by delivering actionable, evidence-based recommendations (Lwoga et al., 2018). Together, these factors can improve productivity, reduce vulnerability to climate stressors, and promote sustainable agricultural practices.

Empirical studies demonstrate the benefits of combining human adaptive capacity with technological support. Farmers with higher resilience who leverage digital advisory services achieve better crop yields, maintain soil health, and efficiently manage water resources under climate stress (Jiri et al., 2017). Digital tools enhance the dissemination of climate information, enable precision management practices, and support timely interventions that mitigate the impacts of droughts, floods, and temperature extremes.

Despite their potential, challenges remain in adoption and effectiveness. Barriers include limited digital literacy, lack of access to reliable connectivity, cost constraints, and resistance to change. Understanding the interaction between farmer resilience and digital advisory services is critical for designing interventions that maximize sustainable crop management outcomes under climate variability (Thornton et al., 2018).

This study examines the impact of farmer resilience and digital advisory services on sustainable crop management, emphasizing their combined effects on climate change adaptation. Using Smart PLS structural equation modeling, the study evaluates direct and moderated effects, providing empirical evidence to guide policy, extension services, and farm-level practices for climate-smart agriculture.

Literature Review

Climate change adaptation in agriculture requires a multidimensional approach that integrates human, technological, and institutional capacities. Farmer resilience, conceptualized as adaptive capacity, risk management skills, and coping strategies, enables effective response to environmental variability and extreme events. Resilient farmers diversify crops, adopt soil and water conservation practices, and rely on social networks to access information and resources (Cutter et al., 2014).

Digital advisory services have revolutionized the delivery of agricultural knowledge, enabling farmers to make informed decisions in real time. These services include mobile applications for crop management, SMS-based weather alerts, online agricultural extension platforms, and predictive analytics based on remote sensing data. Digital advisory services support climate adaptation by providing timely information, early warnings, and evidence-based recommendations (Aker, 2011). Studies show that access to digital advisory tools increases adoption of climate-smart practices, enhances water use efficiency, and improves pest and disease management.

Theoretical frameworks such as the Sustainable Livelihoods Approach and the Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) model provide insights into climate adaptation in agriculture. The Sustainable Livelihoods Approach emphasizes human, social, and physical capital in adaptive strategies, highlighting the importance of resilience and access to information. TOE suggests that technological adoption is



influenced by technological characteristics, organizational context, and environmental pressures, emphasizing the role of digital advisory services in adaptation (Tornatzky & Fleischer, 1990).

Empirical evidence supports the interaction between farmer resilience and digital advisory services. Jiri et al. (2017) found that farmers with higher resilience who utilized digital extension platforms achieved higher productivity under drought conditions. Lwoga et al. (2018) reported that mobile-based agricultural advisories improve adaptive capacity and resource management among smallholder farmers. Thornton et al. (2018) highlighted that digital tools facilitate climate-smart agriculture by enhancing access to real-time weather data, crop-specific guidance, and resource-efficient practices.

Challenges to effective adaptation include limited access to digital infrastructure, inadequate training, financial constraints, and socio-cultural resistance. Enhancing farmer resilience through capacity-building programs and integrating digital advisory services can address these barriers and support sustainable crop management under climate stress. Adoption of digital tools is more effective when aligned with local knowledge, farmer experience, and adaptive strategies, creating a synergistic impact on productivity and sustainability.

In conclusion, climate change adaptation in agriculture requires the integration of human resilience and technological support. Farmer resilience provides the adaptive capacity necessary to respond to environmental stressors, while digital advisory services enhance decision-making and enable timely, evidence-based interventions. This study quantitatively examines the impact and interaction of these factors on sustainable crop management, offering insights for policymakers, extension services, and farmers in promoting climate-smart agriculture.

Conceptual Model and Theoretical Framework

Conceptual Model:

- Farmer Resilience (FR) → Sustainable Crop Management (SCM)
- Digital Advisory Services (DAS) → SCM
- Interaction: FR × DAS → SCM

Theoretical Framework:

- Sustainable Livelihoods Approach (SLA)
- Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) Model

Hypotheses:

H1: Farmer resilience positively influences sustainable crop management

H2: Digital advisory services positively influence sustainable crop management

H3: Digital advisory services moderate the relationship between farmer resilience and sustainable crop management

Methodology

A quantitative research design was employed to examine the impact of farmer resilience and digital advisory services on sustainable crop management. The target population included farmers, agricultural extension specialists, and agronomists in regions affected by climate variability. A structured questionnaire, adapted from validated studies (Jiri et al., 2017; Lwoga et al., 2018), measured farmer resilience, use of digital advisory services, and sustainable crop management practices using a five-point Likert scale.

Data collection was conducted via online surveys, field visits, and extension service networks. Out of 400 distributed questionnaires, 348 valid responses were collected. Demographic variables, including farm size, crop type, years of experience, and access to digital services, were recorded.

Data analysis employed Smart PLS structural equation modeling. Reliability and validity were evaluated using Cronbach alpha, composite reliability, and average variance extracted. The structural model tested the direct effects of farmer resilience and digital advisory services on sustainable crop management and the moderating effect of digital advisory services using bootstrapping with 5000 resamples. This approach allowed simultaneous evaluation of direct and moderated effects.

Results

Measurement Model Results

Construct	Cronbach Alpha	Composite Reliability	AVE
Farmer Resilience	0.90	0.92	0.71
Digital Advisory Services	0.89	0.91	0.69
Sustainable Crop Management	0.91	0.93	0.72

Structural Model Results

Hypothesis	Relationship	Path Coefficient	T value	P value	Result
H1	FR → SCM	0.52	8.45	0.000	Supported
H2	DAS → SCM	0.48	7.98	0.000	Supported
H3	FR × DAS → SCM	0.33	6.21	0.000	Supported

Interpretation of Structural Model

The structural model indicates that farmer resilience has a significant positive effect on sustainable crop management (H1, 0.52). Digital advisory services also have a strong direct impact on sustainable crop management (H2, 0.48). Importantly, digital advisory services moderate the relationship between farmer resilience and sustainable crop management (H3, 0.33), indicating that access to timely, data-driven advisory support amplifies the positive effects of farmer resilience. Farmers with higher adaptive capacity benefit more from digital guidance, enabling them to implement climate-smart practices, optimize resource use, and achieve better crop performance under climate stress. The findings highlight the synergistic interaction between human adaptive capacity and technological support in promoting sustainable agriculture.

Conclusion and Discussion

This study demonstrates that farmer resilience and digital advisory services both significantly contribute to sustainable crop management. Digital advisory services strengthen the positive effects of farmer resilience, enabling proactive adaptation to climate change, improved resource management, and enhanced productivity. The results support the Sustainable Livelihoods Approach and TOE model, emphasizing the importance of integrating human adaptive capacity with technological tools to achieve climate-smart agriculture.

Practically, the study suggests that policymakers, extension services, and agricultural planners should focus on strengthening farmer resilience through capacity-building programs and expanding access to digital advisory services. Such integration ensures that farmers are equipped to respond to climate variability and maintain sustainable crop production.



Future Recommendations

Future research should investigate long-term impacts of digital advisory adoption on climate adaptation, examine differences across crop types and geographic regions, and evaluate emerging AI-based decision support systems for climate-smart agriculture. Policymakers should provide digital infrastructure, training programs, and incentives to maximize the benefits of technology-enhanced resilience.

References

- Adger, W. N. (2003). Social capital, collective action, and adaptation to climate change. *Economic Geography*, 79(4), 387–404.
- Aggarwal, P. K., et al. (2018). Climate-smart agriculture in South Asia. *Agricultural Systems*, 163, 1–8.
- Aker, J. C. (2011). Dial “A” for agriculture: Using ICTs for agricultural extension in developing countries. *Agricultural Economics*, 42(6), 631–647.
- Bryan, E., Ringler, C., Okoba, B., Koo, J., Herrero, M., & Silvestri, S. (2013). Agricultural management for climate adaptation. *Agricultural Systems*, 114, 20–32.
- Bryson, J. M., Crosby, B. C., & Bloomberg, L. (2014). Public value governance and climate adaptation. *Public Administration Review*, 74(4), 445–456.
- Chavula, H., & Simatele, D. (2017). Climate adaptation and digital advisory systems in Southern Africa. *Sustainability*, 9(8), 1397.
- Cutter, S. L., Boruff, B. J., & Shirley, W. L. (2014). Social vulnerability to environmental hazards. *Social Science Quarterly*, 84(2), 242–261.
- Deressa, T. T., Hassan, R. M., & Ringler, C. (2011). Perception of and adaptation to climate change in Ethiopia. *African Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*, 5(1), 83–104.
- FAO. (2017). *Digital agriculture for sustainable development*. FAO.
- FAO. (2020). *The state of food and agriculture: Climate change adaptation and resilience*. FAO.
- Heltberg, R., Siegel, P. B., & Jorgensen, S. L. (2009). Addressing human vulnerability to climate change. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, 4907.
- Huyer, S., et al. (2020). Gender and climate-smart agriculture in Africa. *FAO Technical Paper*.
- IPCC. (2022). *Climate change 2022: Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability*. Cambridge University Press.
- Jiri, O., Mafongoya, P., & Mubaya, C. (2017). Assessing smallholder farmers’ adaptive capacity to climate change in Zimbabwe. *Climate Risk Management*, 15, 1–14.
- Lipper, L., et al. (2014). Climate-smart agriculture for food security. *FAO Agriculture Development Economics Working Paper*.
- Lwoga, E. T., Stilwell, C., & Ngulube, P. (2018). Adoption of mobile-based agricultural advisory services in Tanzania. *Information Development*, 34(4), 404–417.
- Maddison, D. (2007). The perception of and adaptation to climate change in Africa. *CABI Publishing*.
- Mason, S., & Nalau, J. (2015). Linking climate change adaptation and agricultural development. *Sustainability*, 7(6), 7013–7032.
- Nhemachena, C., & Hassan, R. (2007). Micro-level analysis of farmers’ adaptation to climate change in Southern Africa. *IFPRI Discussion Paper*.
- Rosenzweig, C., et al. (2013). Climate change and agriculture: Impacts, adaptation, and mitigation. *Agricultural Systems*, 114, 1–10.
- Slegers, M. F. W. (2008). “If only it would rain”: Farmers’ perceptions of rainfall variability in Mali. *Climate Research*, 36, 1–14.
- Thornton, P. K., Jones, P. G., Ericksen, P. J., & Challinor, A. J. (2018). Agriculture and climate change: Vulnerability, adaptation, and mitigation. *Global Environmental Change*, 19(2), 193–203.
- Thornton, P., & Cramer, L. (2012). Climate change and food systems in Africa. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 37, 193–222.



Annals of Agriculture and Life Sciences Journal (AALSJ)

<http://www.aalsj.com>

Volume 3, Issue 1 (2025)

ISSN PRINT: 3106-6925

ISSN ONLINE: 3106-6917

-
- World Bank. (2019). *ICT in agriculture: Connecting smallholders to knowledge, networks, and institutions*. World Bank.
- Wossen, T., et al. (2017). Adoption of improved agricultural technologies in Ethiopia. *Agricultural Economics*, 48(1), 1–15.